

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,234	05/31/2005	Hae Young Kim	LEE-0024	6371
23413 7590 03/07/2007 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP		EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN R	ROAD SOUTH D, CT 06002		BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL	
BLOOMFIELI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	<del></del>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

f .. **(** 

Application No.	Applicant(s)	L
10/537,234	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Bernshteyn	1713	

The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE T	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to c this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp time periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an amendmer a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, which e) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set pire later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	of extension and the corresponding an the shortened statutory period for repl later than three months after the mail	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ly originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE	er consideration and/or search (se	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or		ally reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cancelin NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		lly rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR	- · ·	on-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.		☐ will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nicker of Assessing Work in a subsection
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	n, but before or on the date of filing date and sufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal Will <u>not</u> be entered affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	to overcome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explain REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	•	
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
13. Other:	•	
	2 Wh	
	DAVID W. WU	Michael Bernshteyn Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Art Unit 1713

**Application No. 10/537,234** 

Continuation of 11. The proposed amendment(s) of claim 1 raise new issue because adding the following limitation "such that the composite polymer particle comprises the polymer (a), the polymer (b) and the polymer (c) in turn, starting from the inside of the binder" into claim 1 changes the scope of this claim, which has not been addressed during the prosecution of this claim. Therefore it would require further consideration and search.